

A DIRAC SEA AND THERMODYNAMIC EQUILIBRIUM FOR THE QUANTIZED THREE WAVE INTERACTION

Richard Beals

*Yale University, Department of Mathematics, Box 208283
New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8283*

David Sattinger

*University of Minnesota, Department of Mathematics
Minneapolis, MN 55455*

Eric Williams

*University of Tokyo, Institute for Solid State Physics
7-22-1 Roppongi, Tokyo 1106*

ABSTRACT. The classical version of the three wave interaction models the creation and destruction of waves; the quantized version models the creation and destruction of particles. The quantum three wave interaction is described and the Bethe Ansatz for the eigenfunctions is given in closed form. The Bethe equations are derived in a rigorous fashion and are shown to have a thermodynamic limit. The Dirac sea of negative energy states is obtained as the infinite density limit. Finite particle/hole excitations are determined and the asymptotic relation of energy and momentum is obtained. The Yang-Yang functional for the relative free energy of finite density excitations is constructed and is shown to be convex and bounded below. The equations of thermal equilibrium are obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

The creation and destruction of particles is a fundamental process in high energy physics. Among other things, the presence of such processes implies that the entries of the scattering matrix between states with different numbers of elementary excitations (or physical particles) can be non-zero.

Integrable systems provide solvable paradigms for various physical phenomena: *e.g.* for lattice statistical models, the algebraic decay of correlation functions at a critical point may be observed. The creation and destruction of particle pairs is modeled by the quantum three wave interaction (3WI), which is obtained by quantizing a particular reduction of the $N = 3$ case of the AKNS hierarchy as in Wadati and Ohkuma¹, or by taking the continuum limit of the $GL(3)$ lattice magnet as in Kulish and Reshetikhin². The result is an interacting many body system which does not conserve the number of particles: the interaction permits two distinct types of particles to combine to form a third, and also the reverse process.

The quantum 3WI has been considered in three forms. In one, all particles are bosons, in the “Fermion I” model two fermions interact to form a boson, and in the “Fermion II” model a boson and a fermion interact to form a fermion. In this paper we consider the Fermion I model. We find the associated first quantization problem, obtain the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian in closed form, and derive the Bethe equations rigorously from the requirement that the wave functions be periodic.

Because the model is first order in the derivatives, the energy is not bounded below. Nevertheless, as in Dirac's model of the electron, a stable ground state may be constructed by filling all negative energy states. One can then consider all states with reference to the filled Dirac sea of negative energy states. This approach is used in Korepin³ and in Bergknoff and Thacker⁴ to calculate the excitation spectrum of the massive Thirring model from the Bethe ansatz states.

We prove the existence of a thermodynamic limit as the length of the interval tends to infinity with constant density. The Dirac sea is obtained in the infinite density limit. Finite particle/hole excitations from the Dirac sea correspond to the solutions of certain integral equations. Such excitations have positive relative energy, and we determine the asymptotic relation between energy and momentum. Following the treatment of a one-dimensional boson gas with delta-function interactions by Yang and Yang⁵, we derive a functional for the relative free energy of finite (local) density perturbations from the Dirac sea. We prove that the functional is convex and bounded below and obtain the equation for thermal equilibrium.

Kulish and Reshetikhin² used the quantum inverse scattering method (also called the algebraic Bethe Ansatz) to investigate $GL(N)$ invariant transfer matrices on a one dimensional lattice. They obtained quantized N wave models as a formal continuum limit and found explicitly the Bethe equations for the bosonic 3WI. Wadati and Ohkuma¹ also considered the bosonic case. Ohkuma and Wadati⁶ treated the Fermion I and Fermion II cases and gave an algorithm for constructing the Bethe wave functions.

Ohkuma⁷ investigated the Fermion I quantization of the three wave interaction, wrote down the Bethe equations, and calculated the free energy and excitations from a ground state. However a momentum cut-off is introduced in Ohkuma⁷. The results depend on the cut-off and do not have a finite limit when the cut-off is taken to infinity. By constructing all physical states with reference to a Dirac sea we obtain that are finite and are independent of any cut-off. The methods provide a basis for calculating the free energy of other integrable models whose spectrum is unbounded below.

The quantized field of the 3WI may be rewritten as a first quantization for a many body problem. Such a reduction was carried out by Lieb and Liniger⁸ for the quantization of a Bose gas – essentially the quantization of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. They obtained a Helmholtz equation in the interior of the fundamental domain, together with boundary conditions at the interfaces associated with the interactions; see also Korepin, Bogoliubov, and Izergin⁹. A novel feature of the 3WI is that the system of equations links functions with different numbers of variables, corresponding to the fact that individual particle numbers are not conserved.

As in Lieb and Liniger⁸ we solve the differential equations in certain fundamental cones $\mathcal{C}^{m,n,p}$ and then extend the densities to all space, first by anti-symmetrizing across the boundaries of $\mathcal{C}^{m,n,p}$ and then by periodicity. The differential equations for the densities force discontinuities where fermions of different types interact. However like fermions do not interact, so to avoid discontinuities on the boundaries of the fundamental cones we impose a regularity requirement, (2.5). This requirement forces one to anti-symmetrize the basic solutions of the differential equations over momenta. This in turn is fundamental to obtaining the Bethe equations, as we show by example in §5.

In §§2,4 we sketch, in greater detail than in Wadati and Ohkuma¹, Wadati and

Ohkuma⁶, a derivation of an algorithm for the eigenfunctions of the 3WI, and go on to obtain the explicit form of the eigenfunctions (cf. Theorem 5.1). This form generalizes the Bethe Ansatz (Bethe¹⁰, Lieb and Liniger⁸, Lieb¹¹, Yang and Yang¹²) to systems in which the eigenvectors are superpositions of states having different numbers of particles. In §5 we derive the Bethe equations from the requirement of periodicity.

In §6 we reformulate the finite Bethe equations as a system of integral equations and prove existence of a certain thermodynamic limit at finite density. The Dirac sea is then obtained as an infinite density limit. In §7 integral equations for the particle/hole excited states are obtained, and energy/momentum asymptotics are found. Finite density perturbations of the Dirac sea are constructed in §8. In §9 we investigate the (relative) free energy functional. The formal Euler-Lagrange equations for its minimum are the same as those proposed by Ohkuma⁷ if one imposes a cut-off. Without a cut-off they have no strict solutions, but there is a natural interpretation which does yield meaningful equations for the thermal equilibrium state.

2. THE THREE WAVE INTERACTION

The Hamiltonian of the classical three-wave interaction is

$$H = \int \sum_{j=0}^2 i c_j \frac{\partial \Psi_j^\dagger}{\partial x} \Psi_j + \gamma (\Psi_0^\dagger \Psi_1 \Psi_2 + \Psi_2^\dagger \Psi_1^\dagger \Psi_0) dx \quad (2.1)$$

$$= T + \gamma (V^\dagger + V),$$

where Ψ_j are complex valued functions and $\dagger$ denotes complex conjugation. The constants c_j are wave speeds, and γ is a coupling constant. Zakharov and Manakov¹³ found a Lax pair for the classical system and Beals and Sattinger¹⁴ gave a set of action-angle variables. However, integrability of the classical system will play no role in our analysis of the quantized model.

The system is formally quantized by taking the $\Psi_j^\dagger$, Ψ_j to be creation and annihilation operators on a Fock space, $\dagger$ denoting Hermitian adjoints. We take $\Psi_0(x, t)$ to be a boson field operator, and Ψ_1 , Ψ_2 to be fermion fields. Thus the operators are required to satisfy the equal time commutation ($[\ , \]_-$) or anti-commutation ($[\ , \]_+$) relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\Psi_j(x, t), \Psi_{j'}(x', t)]_+ &= 0, & [\Psi_j(x, t), \Psi_{j'}^\dagger(x', t)]_+ &= \delta_{jj'} \delta(x - x'), \\ [\Psi_0(x, t), \Psi_0(x', t)]_- &= 0, & [\Psi_0(x, t), \Psi_0^\dagger(x', t)]_- &= \delta(x - x'), \\ [\Psi_0(x, t), \Psi_j(x', t)]_- &= 0, & [\Psi_0^\dagger(x, t), \Psi_j(x', t)]_- &= 0, \quad j, j' = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The Hermitian operator T represents the kinetic energy, with distinct wavespeeds c_j for each field. The interaction term $V^\dagger$ formally annihilates the two particles with wavespeeds c_1 and c_2 (particles of type 1 and 2) and creates one with wavespeed c_0 , while V destroys a type 0 particle and creates types 1 and 2.

We assume throughout that the speeds of the fermions bracket the boson speed: $(c_1 - c_0)(c_2 - c_0) < 0$. In addition, we make the simplifying assumption that

where α is an arbitrary constant. Without loss of generality we assume throughout this paper that $\alpha > 0$

The Hamiltonian is defined on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of the tensor product of a boson and two fermion Fock spaces. There exists a non-zero vector $|0\rangle$ in the Fock space, called the pseudovacuum, such that

$$\Psi_j(x, t)|0\rangle = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Define particle number operators

$$N_j = \int dx \Psi_j^\dagger \Psi_j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

The coordinates of particles of types 0, 1, and 2 are denoted by x_j, y_j, z_j respectively. Let

$$\Psi_0^\dagger(x) = \Psi_0^\dagger(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \Psi_0^\dagger(x_1) \cdots \Psi_0^\dagger(x_m)$$

and define $\Psi_1^\dagger(y)$ and $\Psi_2^\dagger(z)$ similarly. Then set

$$\Psi^\dagger(x, y, z) = \Psi_0^\dagger(x) \Psi_1^\dagger(y) \Psi_2^\dagger(z), \quad x \in R^m, y \in R^n, z \in R^p.$$

Fundamental states in $\mathcal{H}$ are given formally by

$$\Psi^\dagger(x, y, z)|0\rangle,$$

which are eigenstates of N_0, N_1 , and N_2 with eigenvalues m, n , and p respectively. A general state vector in this subspace may be written in the form

$$|\psi_{m,n,p}\rangle = \frac{1}{m!n!p!} \int_{R^{m,n,p}} f_{mnp}(x, y, z) \Psi^\dagger(x, y, z)|0\rangle dx dy dz, \quad (2.4)$$

where f_{mnp} belongs to $\mathcal{L}_2(R^{m,n,p})$ and $dx = dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_m$, etc. Since $\Psi^\dagger(x, y, z)$ is symmetric in the x_j and anti-symmetric in the y_k and z_l , the density function f_{mnp} may be assumed to possess these same symmetries.

If a density f_{mnp} is associated with an eigenstate, then, as shown in §4, it must be discontinuous across the hyperplanes $y_k = z_l$, which we call the *interaction manifolds*. It is natural to assume that these are the only discontinuities. We therefore assume continuity across the hyperplanes $y_k = y_{k+1}$, provided $y_k \neq z_l$ for all z_l , and similarly with respect to the z coordinates. Continuity plus anti-symmetry across these hyperplanes implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Regularity condition : } f_{mnp} \text{ vanishes as } y_k \rightarrow y_{k\pm 1} \text{ if} \\ y_{k\pm 1} \neq z_l \text{ for all } l \text{ and similarly as } z_l \rightarrow z_{l\pm 1} \neq y_k, \text{ all } k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By symmetry, we may replace the integration in (2.4) by integration over the cone $\mathcal{C}^{m,n,p}$ given by

$$x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_m; \quad y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n; \quad z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_p,$$

and write

$$|\psi_{m,n,p}\rangle = \int \mathcal{C}^{m,n,p} f_{mnp}(x, y, z) \Psi^\dagger(x, y, z)|0\rangle dx dy dz. \quad (2.6)$$

From now on, we write the state vectors in the form (2.6) and assume that the densities f_{mnp} are supported on the cone $\mathcal{C}^{m,n,p}$ and satisfy the regularity condition (2.5), which implies that the antisymmetric extensions of the f_{mnp} are continuous across the collision manifolds $y_j = y_k$ and $z_j = z_k$ of like fermions.

The classical version of the Hamiltonian (2.1) is integrable and possesses an infinite number of conservation laws: Zakharov and Manakov¹³. Three important conserved quantities for each version are

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \int 2\Psi_0^\dagger \Psi_0 + \Psi_1^\dagger \Psi_1 + \Psi_2^\dagger \Psi_2 dx \\ D &= \int \Psi_1^\dagger \Psi_1 - \Psi_2^\dagger \Psi_2 dx \\ P &= \int \sum_{j=0}^2 i\Psi_j^\dagger \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial x} dx \end{aligned}$$

In the quantized version operators N and D give conserved particle numbers, and P is the momentum operator. Indeed it is not difficult to show from (2.2) that N, D, P commute with H .

3. REDUCTION TO FIRST QUANTIZATION

The quantum field problem can be rewritten as a first quantization problem for a many body problem.

Denote the subspace of states $|\psi_{m,n,p}\rangle$ by $\mathcal{F}_{mnp}$. These are eigenstates of N and D with eigenvalues $N = 2m + n + p$ and $D = n - p$ respectively, but are not eigenstates of the Hamiltonian H , since $V^\dagger$ and V create and destroy particles. However, since N and D commute with H , the quantum numbers N and D label a subspace that is invariant under H , N , and D . We denote this space by $\mathcal{F}_{N,D}$.

We now fix N and D . Within $\mathcal{F}_{N,D}$, densities can be labeled by m alone and we denote the corresponding subspace by $\mathcal{F}_m$. Note that both of $N \pm D$ must be non-negative even integers. When there are no bosons present, ($m = 0$), there are $(N \pm D)/2$ fermions of types 1 and 2 respectively. The maximum number of bosons occurs when the maximal number of fermions have combined, yielding $M = \min(N \pm D)/2 = \frac{1}{2}(N - |D|)$.

The operators $T, V^\dagger$, and V act on the subspaces $\mathcal{F}_m$ as follows:

$$T : \mathcal{F}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m, \quad V^\dagger : \mathcal{F}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m+1}, \quad V : \mathcal{F}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m-1}.$$

An eigenstate of the Hamiltonian in $\mathcal{F}_{N,D}$ is a sum of vectors $|\psi_m\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_m$, and the eigenvalue equation becomes the coupled system

$$\begin{aligned} (E - T)|\psi_0\rangle &= \gamma V|\psi_1\rangle \\ &\vdots \\ (E - T)|\psi_m\rangle &= \gamma V^\dagger|\psi_{m-1}\rangle + \gamma V|\psi_{m+1}\rangle \\ &\vdots \\ (E - T)|\psi_M\rangle &= \gamma V^\dagger|\psi_{M-1}\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

since $V|\psi_0\rangle = V^\dagger|\psi_M\rangle = 0$.

Let f_m be the density corresponding to the vector $|\psi_m\rangle$. Equations (3.1) may be converted to a system of partial differential equations by calculating the action of T , $V^\dagger$ and V on the densities f_m . The calculation of the action of T is straightforward, while the calculation of V and $V^\dagger$ is somewhat more involved; details are given in Beals, Sattinger, and Williams¹⁵. The action of T is:

$$\begin{aligned} T|\psi_m\rangle &= T \int_{\mathcal{C}^{m,n,p}} f_m(x, y, z) \Psi^\dagger(x, y, z) |0\rangle dx dy dz \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}^{m,n,p}} (X_m f_m(x, y, z)) \Psi^\dagger(x, y, z) |0\rangle dx dy dz \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_m f = ic_0 \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} + ic_1 \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_k} + ic_2 \sum_{l=0}^p \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_l}. \quad (3.4)$$

We use $V^\dagger$ to denote the action on densities as well as the action on vectors. Then

$$V^\dagger f_m = \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{m+1,n,p} (-1)^{n(m)+k+l} f_m(\hat{x}_j; \langle y, x_j, k \rangle; \langle z, x_j, l \rangle), \quad (3.5)$$

where $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{C}^{m+1,n-1,p-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_j &= (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{C}^m, \\ \langle y, x_j, k \rangle &= (y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, x_j, y_k, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}^n, \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Remarks. 1. Since f_m is supported on $\mathcal{C}^{m,n,p}$, $f_m(\hat{x}_j; \langle y, x_j, k \rangle; \langle z, x_j, l \rangle) = 0$ unless $x_j \in (y_{k-1}, y_k) \cap (z_{l-1}, z_l)$. Hence

$$(V^\dagger f_m)(x; y; z) = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{n(m)+k_j+l_j} f_m(\hat{x}_j; \langle y, x_j, k_j \rangle; \langle z, x_j, l_j \rangle), \quad (3.6)$$

where k_j and l_j are uniquely determined by the constraint

$$x_j \in (y_{k_j-1}, y_{k_j}) \cap (z_{l_j-1}, z_{l_j}). \quad (3.7)$$

2. In (3.6) we interpret the value of f_m on the interaction manifold $x_j = y_{k_j} = z_{l_j}$ as the average of its values as $y_{k_j} \rightarrow z_{l_j} \pm$.

3. The action of $V^\dagger$ can be thought of as gluing a type 1 fermion at y_k and a type 2 fermion at z_l to form a boson at x_j . The coordinates are relabeled to take into account the shift in numbers of variables, summed over all possible pairs. Similarly, the action of V can be thought of as the decomposition of a boson into a pair of fermions, summed over the bosons. In fact the operation of V on densities is given by

$$(V f_m)(x, y, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1,p+1} (-1)^{n(m)+k+l} f_m(\langle x, y_k, j_k \rangle; \hat{y}_k; \hat{z}_l) \delta(y_k - z_l). \quad (3.9)$$

where j_k is uniquely determined by the constraint $y_k \in (x_{j_k-1}, x_{j_k})$.

We illustrate in some low order cases. For $2m + n + p = N = 2$, $f_0 = f_0(y, z)$, $f_1 = f_1(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (V^\dagger f_0)(x) &= -f_0(x, x), \quad V f_0 = 0 \\ V^\dagger f_1 &= 0, \quad (V f_1)(y, z) = f_1(y)\delta(y - z) \end{aligned}$$

For $2m + n + p = N = 4$, $f_0 = f_0(y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2)$, $f_1 = f_1(x, y, z)$, $f_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (V^\dagger f_0)(x, y, z) &= f_0(x, y, x, z) - f_0(x, y, z, x) - f_0(y, x, x, z) + f_0(y, x, z, x), \\ (V^\dagger f_1)(x_1, x_2) &= -f_1(x_1, x_2, x_2) - f_1(x_2, x_1, x_1), \\ V^\dagger f_2 &= 0; \\ V f_0 &= 0 \\ (V f_1)(y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) &= f_1(y_1, y_2, z_1)\delta(y_1 - z_2) - f_1(y_1, y_2, z_2)\delta(y_1 - z_1), \\ &\quad - f_1(y_2, y_1, z_1)\delta(y_2 - z_2) + f_1(y_2, y_1, z_2)\delta(y_2 - z_1), \\ (V f_2)(x, y, z) &= [f_2(y, x) + f_2(x, y)]\delta(y - z). \end{aligned}$$

4. THE BETHE ANSATZ

The differential equations for the densities, supplemented with the regularity condition (2.5) at the boundaries of the cones $\mathcal{C}^{m,n,p}$, describe the spectral problem in first quantization.

From the results of §3 the differential equations for the densities are

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 f_0 + \gamma V f_1 &= E f_0, \\ &\vdots \\ X_m f_m + \gamma V f_{m+1} + \gamma V^\dagger f_{m-1} &= E f_m \\ &\vdots \\ X_M f_M + \gamma V^\dagger f_{M-1} &= E f_M \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Wadati and Ohkuma¹ gave an algorithm for constructing the general eigenstates. In the next two sections we find these eigenstates in closed form, in two steps. In this section we construct “local solutions” of equations (4.1); on each of the cones $\mathcal{C}^{mnp}$ is a multiple of an exponential solution of the associated differential equation.

Because the operator V introduces delta function singularities on the interaction manifolds, solutions must have discontinuities across these manifolds. However the local solutions violate (2.5) and thus they have additional discontinuities if extended by anti-symmetry. We denote the densities of the local solutions by h_m to distinguish them from the regular densities, which will satisfy (2.5). We obtain these regular densities in §5 by taking linear combinations of the h_m : anti-symmetrizing over the momenta.

Two low order cases illustrate the main features of these equations. For $N = 2$, $D = 0$, equations (4.1) are

$$ic_1 \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial y} + ic_2 \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z} + \gamma h_1(y) \delta(y - z) = E h_0(y, z) \quad (4.2a)$$

$$ic_0 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} - \gamma h_0(x, x) = E h_1(x) \quad (4.2b)$$

where $h_0 = h_0(y, z)$, $h_1 = h_1(x)$. In the first equation the interaction term vanishes off the interaction manifold $y = z$ so we take the solutions to be multiples of an exponential in each of the open sectors $y < z$ and $y > z$ of $\mathcal{C}^{0,1,1}$:

$$h_0(y, z) = \begin{cases} \phi_1^0 \exp i(\eta y - \zeta z), & y < z, \\ \phi_2^0 \exp i(\eta y - \zeta z), & y > z, \end{cases}$$

where $E = -(c_1 \eta - c_2 \zeta)$, and ϕ_1^0 and ϕ_2^0 are constants to be determined.

In (4.2b) we take $h_0(x, x)$ on the interaction manifold to be the average of the values of $h_0(y, z)$ from the left and right. Hence the second equation is

$$\left(ic_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - E \right) h_1(x) = \gamma \frac{\phi_1^0 + \phi_2^0}{2} e^{i(\eta - \zeta)x}.$$

We seek a solution of the form $h_1(x) = A e^{i(\eta - \zeta)x}$, so that

$$h_1(x) = g(\eta, \zeta) \frac{\phi_1^0 + \phi_2^0}{2} \exp i(\eta - \zeta)x, \quad g(\eta, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{(c_1 - c_0)\eta - (c_2 - c_0)\zeta}.$$

By (2.3),

$$g(\eta, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha(\eta + \zeta)} := g(\eta + \zeta).$$

We use this form of g from now on.

Finally, we determine the ratio ϕ_1^0/ϕ_2^0 by integrating (4.2a) across the interaction manifold $y = z$. We change variables, letting $d = y - z$, $s = y + z$, and obtain

$$i(c_1 - c_2) \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial d} + i(c_1 + c_2) \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial s} + \gamma h_1 \delta(d) = E h_0, \\ h_0 = h_0 \left(\frac{s+d}{2}, \frac{s-d}{2} \right), \quad h_1 = h_1 \left(\frac{s+d}{2} \right)$$

Integrating across the jump at $d = 0$ ($y = z = x$) we get

$$i(c_1 - c_2)(\phi_2^0 - \phi_1^0) \exp ix(\eta - \zeta) + \gamma g(\eta + \zeta) \frac{\phi_1^0 + \phi_2^0}{2} \exp ix(\eta - \zeta) = 0;$$

hence

$$\frac{\phi_2^0}{\phi_1^0} = \frac{2i(c_1 - c_2) - \gamma g}{2i(c_1 - c_2) + \gamma g}.$$

Using our assumption (2.3) we may write this in the simplified form

$$\phi_2^0 = \theta(\eta + \zeta) \phi_1^0, \quad \theta(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda + i\omega}{\lambda - i\omega}.$$

where

$$\omega = \frac{\gamma^2}{4\alpha^2}.$$

Setting $\phi_1^0 = 1$, we have

$$h_0(y, z) = \begin{cases} \exp i(\eta y - \zeta z), & y < z; \\ \theta(\eta - \zeta) \exp i(\eta y - \zeta z), & y > z; \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}g(\eta + \zeta) (1 + \theta(\eta + \zeta)) \exp ix(\eta - \zeta).$$

For $N = 3$, $D = 1$ equations (4.1) are

$$\begin{aligned} ic_1 \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial y_1} + ic_1 \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial y_2} + ic_2 \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z} - E h_0(y_1, y_2; z) \\ = \gamma(h_1(y_1; y_2)\delta(y_1 - z) - h_1(y_2; y_1)\delta(y_2 - z)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3a)$$

$$ic_0 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} + ic_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial y} - E h_1(x; y) = \gamma(h_0(y, x; x) - h_0(x, y; x)), \quad (4.3b)$$

with $h_0 = h_0(y_1, y_2; z)$ and $h_1 = h_1(x; y)$. Arguing as above, we obtain

$$h_0(y; z) = \begin{cases} \exp i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2 - \zeta z), & y_1 < y_2 < z; \\ \theta(\eta_2 + \zeta) \exp i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2 - \zeta z), & y_1 < z < y_2; \\ \theta(\eta_1 + \zeta)\theta(\eta_2 + \zeta) \exp i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2 - \zeta z), & z < y_1 < y_2; \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_1(x; y) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} (1 + \theta(\eta_2 + \zeta)) g(\eta_2 + \zeta) \exp i((\eta_2 - \zeta)x + \eta_1 y) & y < x; \\ -\theta(\eta_2 + \zeta)(1 + \theta(\eta_1 + \zeta))g(\eta_1 + \zeta) \exp i((\eta_1 - \zeta)x + \eta_2 y) & x < y. \end{cases}$$

The General Case

The two preceding cases show that when y_k and z_l are transposed, (with y_k moving to the right of z_l), h_0 is multiplied by $\theta(\eta_k + \zeta_l)$, where $\eta_k, -\zeta_l$ are the momenta of the corresponding fermions. Since the differential equations are local and involve only pairwise interactions, this situation extends to the general case.

We begin by introducing some notation and conventions. For fixed N and D , $\mathcal{C}^{m,n,p}$ is uniquely determined by m , so from now on we denote it by $\mathcal{C}^m$. The domain $\mathcal{C}^m$ is a union of

$$\frac{(m+n+p)!}{m!n!p!}$$

open sectors determined by the interspacing of the particles of type 0,1,2. We label them by $m+n+p$ -tuples $\sigma = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{m+n+p})$ where $\epsilon_j = 0, -1$, or $+1$ if the particle in the j^{th} position along the real line is of type 0,1, or 2 respectively. For example

$$\sigma = (1, 0, -1, 1) \leftrightarrow \{z_1 < x_1 < y_1 < z_2\}.$$

The boundary of $\mathcal{C}^m$ consists of the interaction manifolds $y_j = z_k$ together with the sets $x_1 = x_2 = \dots$ and $x_m = x_{m+1} = \dots$ at which like fermions coalesce.

A *transposition* consists of interchanging a -1 with a +1 to its immediate right, *e.g.* $(1, 0, -1, 1) \mapsto (1, 0, 1, -1)$. Each transposition corresponds to interchanging an adjacent pair of coordinates y_k, z_l ; thus to every interaction manifold there is a corresponding transposition. The sectors of $\mathcal{C}^m$ are partially ordered by the number of transpositions; thus, for example $(1, 0, -1, 1) < (1, 0, 1, -1)$. By definition, no transpositions occur between -1's and 1's separated by one or more zeroes.

Since Vh_1 is supported on the interaction manifold, $h_0 = h_0(y; z)$ satisfies

$$X_0 h_0 = ic_1 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial y_j} + ic_2 \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z_k} = E h_0 \quad (4.4)$$

in each open sector $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^0$.

Let

$$\sigma_0 = \{y_1 < \cdots < y_n < z_1 < \cdots < z_p\} \sim (-1, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

We take

$$h_0 = \exp i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j y_j - \sum_{k=1}^p \zeta_k z_k \right), \quad (y; z) \in \sigma_0$$

with

$$E = -c_1 \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j + c_2 \sum_{k=1}^p \zeta_k. \quad (4.5)$$

The solution in a general sector $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^0$ is

$$h_0 = \phi_\sigma^0 \exp i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j y_j - \sum_{k=1}^p \zeta_k z_k \right), \quad y; z \in \sigma, \quad (4.6)$$

where the constant ϕ_σ^0 is uniquely determined by σ , and is given in (4.8) below.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\sigma < \sigma'$ differ by a single transposition of fermions of types 1 and 2 at y_j, z_k with momenta η_j and $-\zeta_k$ respectively. Then*

$$\phi_{\sigma'}^0 = \theta(\eta_j + \zeta_k) \phi_\sigma^0, \quad (4.7)$$

Remark. For $\sigma' < \sigma$ the phase factor is

$$[\theta(\eta_j + \zeta_k)]^{-1} = \theta(-\eta_j - \zeta_k).$$

Thus within a *fixed* particle number sector these conditions on the wave function are of the usual Bethe Ansatz type; the ratio of phases that differ by a permutation of neighboring particles is a function of the momenta.

Proof. All the interactions are pairwise and local, so the same computations for the case $N = 2, D = 0$ extend to the general case. The ratio of the constants $\phi_\sigma^0, \phi_{\sigma'}^0$ is determined entirely from the first and second equations in the hierarchy (4.1). $\square$

Each $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^0$ is obtained from $\sigma_0 = (-1, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, 1)$ by a unique set of transpositions (y_j, z_k) , which we denote by T_σ . Thus

$$\phi_\sigma^0 = \prod_{(j,k) \in T_\sigma} \theta(\eta_j + \zeta_k). \quad (4.8)$$

Now consider the higher order components of the density: h_m , $m \geq 1$. The sectors of $\mathcal{C}^m$ are characterized by $(m+n+p)$ -tuples σ with m zeroes. We assign momenta to σ as follows. Regard each 0 in σ as a pair $(-1, 1)$. Starting from the left, assign the -1's momenta $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots$; the +1's momenta $-\zeta_1, -\zeta_2, \dots$; and assign the 0's the sums of momenta $\eta_j - \zeta_k$, with the η 's and ζ 's taken in their normal order. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1, 0, 1, 0) &\leftrightarrow (\eta_1, \eta_2 - \zeta_1, -\zeta_2, \eta_3 - \zeta_3); \\ (-1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, -1) &\leftrightarrow (\eta_1, -\zeta_1, -\zeta_2, \eta_2 - \zeta_3, -\zeta_4, \eta_3 - \zeta_5, \eta_4). \end{aligned}$$

For fixed locations of the zeroes in σ the basic exponential solution is defined by

$$e_\sigma^m = \exp i \left(\sum_{r=1}^m x_r (\eta_{i_r} - \zeta_{j_r}) + \sum_{s=1}^n y_s \eta_{a_s} - \sum_{t=1}^p z_t \zeta_{b_t} \right) \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} i_r(j_r) &= \# \text{ } x\text{'s and } y\text{'s (} z\text{'s) to the left of } x_r, \text{ inclusive of } x_r; \\ a_s(b_t) &= \# \text{ } x\text{'s and } y\text{'s (} z\text{'s) to the left of } y_s, (z_t), \text{ inclusive of } y_s, (z_t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Remark. From (3.7), $i_r = r + k_r - 1$, $j_r = r + l_r - 1$.

For example

$$\begin{aligned} e_\sigma^m(x; y; z) &= \exp i x_1 (x_1(\eta_2 - \zeta_1) + x_2(\eta_3 - \zeta_3) + y_1\eta_1 - z_1\zeta_2), \\ \sigma &= (-1, 0, 1, 0); \\ e_\sigma^m(x; y; z) &= \exp i (x_1(\eta_2 - \zeta_3) + x_2(\eta_3 - \zeta_5) + y_1\eta_1 + y_2\eta_4 - z_1\zeta_1 - z_2\zeta_2 - z_3\zeta_4) \\ \sigma &= (-1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, -1) \end{aligned}$$

We now describe the general case. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^m$ define g_σ by

$$g_\sigma = \prod_{r=1}^m g_{i_r j_r}, \quad g_{i_r j_r} = g(\eta_{i_r} + \zeta_{j_r}), \quad (4.12)$$

where i_r, j_r are given in (4.10). For example, for $(-1, 0, 1, 0)$, $i_1 = 2$, $j_1 = 1$, $i_2 = 3$, $j_2 = 2$, and

$$g_\sigma = g_{21} g_{32}.$$

The components of $\mathcal{C}^m$ are determined by the location of the zeroes and the numbers and orders of +1's and -1's between successive zeroes. The factor g_σ is constant on each connected component of $\mathcal{C}^m$. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^m$, we define

$$\phi_\sigma^m = \phi_\Sigma^0 \prod_{r=1}^m (-1)^{n(m)+k_r+l_r} \frac{1 + \theta(\eta_{i_r} + \zeta_{j_r})}{2}, \quad (4.13)$$

where $\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}^0$ is obtained from σ by replacing each 0 in σ by $(-1, 1)$, and ϕ_Σ^0 is given by (4.8).

Theorem 4.2. *The basic solutions of equations (4.1) are given by*

$$h_m(x, y, z) = \psi_\sigma^m e_\sigma^m(x, y, z), \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\psi_\sigma^m = \phi_\sigma^m g_\sigma = \phi_\Sigma^0 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \right)^m \prod_{r=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{n(m)+k_r+l_r}}{\eta_{i_r} + \zeta_{j_r} - i\omega}$$

and the ϕ_σ^m are given by (4.8), (4.13); the g_σ by (4.12); and the e_σ^m by (4.9).

The proof is given in Beals, Sattinger, and Williams¹⁵. In addition to Lemma 4.1, it relies on the identity

$$(-1)^{n(m)+k_r+l_r} \frac{\phi_{\sigma_r}^m + \phi_{\sigma'_r}^m}{2} = \phi_\sigma^{m+1}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq m+1$$

where σ_r and σ'_r are obtained from σ by replacing the r -th zero by $(-1, 1)$ and by $(1, -1)$ respectively. This condition insures compatibility between the phases in *different* particle number sectors; it has no analogue in the usual Bethe Ansatz.

5. THE BETHE EQUATIONS

In §4 we constructed basic solutions h_m of equations (4.1). As noted in §2 we want to impose the regularity condition (2.5) that eigendensities have no unnecessary discontinuities, i.e. that their anti-symmetric extensions be continuous across the collision manifold of like fermions. To obtain this property, we antisymmetrize the basic solutions over the momenta.

Permuting the momenta of the basic solution of (4.4), (4.5) gives another solution. The general solution of (4.4, 4.5) is a linear combination

$$e_0(J, K) = \exp i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n y_j \eta_{J_j} - \sum_{k=1}^p z_k \zeta_{K_k} \right),$$

where J, K are permutations of $1, \dots, n$ and $1, \dots, p$ respectively. In particular,

$$f_0(y; z) = \sum_{J, K} \text{sgn}(J) \text{sgn}(K) e_0(J, K),$$

satisfies $X_0 f_0 = E f_0$ in

$$\sigma_0 = \{y_1 < \dots < y_n < z_1 < \dots < z_p\},$$

and satisfies the regularity condition (2.5).

The density in the other sectors is given by

$$f_0(y; z) = \sum_{J, K} \text{sgn}(J) \text{sgn}(K) \phi_\sigma^0(J, K) e_0(J, K), \quad (5.1)$$

where $(y; z) \in \sigma$, and

$$\phi_\sigma^0(J, K) = \prod \theta(\eta_{J_j} + \zeta_{K_k}).$$

The corresponding densities for higher m are consequently

$$f_m(x; y; z) = \sum_{J, K} \text{sgn}(J) \text{sgn}(K) \phi_\sigma^m(J, K) g_\sigma(J, K) e_\sigma^m(J, K), \quad (5.2)$$

$$(x; y; z) \in \sigma,$$

where $e_\sigma^m(J, K)$, $g_\sigma(J, K)$ and $\phi_\sigma^m(J, K)$ are obtained from (4.9), (4.12), and (4.13) by making the substitutions $j, k \mapsto J_j, K_k$. For example, to obtain densities which vanish when $y_1 = y_2 \neq z$ in the case $N = 3, D = 1$, we antisymmetrize the base function over the momenta: setting

$$e_0(j, k) = \exp i(\eta_j y_1 + \eta_k y_2 - \zeta z),$$

we take

$$f_0(y_1, y_2, z) = \begin{cases} e_0(1, 2) - e_0(2, 1), & y_1 < y_2 < z; \\ \theta(\eta_2 + \zeta) e_0(1, 2) - \theta(\eta_1 + \zeta) e_0(2, 1), & y_1 < z < y_2; \\ \theta(\eta_1 + \zeta) \theta(\eta_2 + \zeta) [e_0(1, 2) - e_0(2, 1)], & z < y_1 < y_2. \end{cases}$$

Now $f_0(y_1, y_2, z)$ vanishes when $y_1 = y_2 \neq z$; but we can not assert anything for the case $y_1 < z < y_2$, since y_1 and y_2 cannot coalesce without equaling z . The corresponding density f_1 is also obtained as a superposition: setting

$$w(j, k) = g(\eta_k + \zeta) (1 + \theta(\eta_k + \zeta)) \exp i(\eta_k - \zeta)x + \eta_j y$$

we find

$$f_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} w(1, 2) - w(2, 1), & y < x; \\ -\theta(\eta_2 + \zeta) w(2, 1) + \theta(\eta_1 + \zeta) w(1, 2), & x < y. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5.1. *The antisymmetrized wave functions (5.1) and (5.2) satisfy the regularity condition (2.5) and thus determine a basis of eigenstates for the Hamiltonian (2.1).*

Proof. Consider a pair (y_k, y_{k+1}) (the proof is the same for contiguous z 's) and assume there are no intervening x 's or z 's. Group the terms in the sum (5.1) into pairs corresponding to $J = (\dots, j, j+1, \dots)$ and $J' = (\dots, j+1, j, \dots)$. At $y_j = y_{j+1}$ we have $e_0(J, K) = e_0(J', K)$, and the coefficient of this common value is

$$\phi_\sigma^0(J, K) - \phi_\sigma^0(J', K).$$

For any given pair (j, k) either $y_j < y_{j+1} < z_k$ or $z_k < y_j < y_{j+1}$. In the first case, neither (j, k) nor $(j+1, k)$ belong to T_σ , so neither of the factors $\theta(\eta_{J_j} - \zeta_{K_k})$, $\theta(\eta_{J_{j+1}} - \zeta_{K_k})$ belong to the products $\phi_\sigma^0(J, K)$, $\phi_\sigma^0(J', K)$. In the second case, both (j, k) and $(j+1, k)$ belong to T_σ , so both factors belong to both the products, and $\phi_\sigma^0(J, K) = \phi_\sigma^0(J', K)$. Therefore the coefficient of $e_0(J, K) = e_0(J', K)$ vanishes in either case, and the sum is zero. This establishes the result for f_0 .

We proceed in the same way to verify that the higher order densities f_m , $m \geq 1$ vanish when y_j and y_{j+1} coincide. In view of (4.16), we must show that

From (4.12) the constants $g_\sigma(J, K)$ depend only on the momenta associated with the bosons (the zeroes of σ), and so are unchanged under any permutation of the fermion momenta. The same applies to the product in (4.13): it depends only on the boson, not the fermion momenta. Therefore the issue for the higher order densities reduces to the proposition $\phi_\Sigma^0(J, K) = \phi_\Sigma^0(J', K)$, which was established in the first step of this proof. $\square$

Given the f_m defined on the cells

$$\{ 0 \leq x_1 < \cdots < x_m \leq L, 0 \leq y_1 < \cdots < y_n \leq L, 0 \leq z_1 < \cdots < z_p \leq L \},$$

we extend them to the hypercube $0 \leq x_i, y_j, z_k \leq L$ by

$$h_m(x; y; z) = \text{sgn}(J) \text{sgn}(K) f_m(Mx, Jy, Kz) \quad (5.3)$$

where Mx, Jy, Kz are the unique permutations of the variables x, y, z for which

$$x_{M_1} < \cdots < x_{M_m}, \quad y_{J_1} < \cdots < y_{J_n}, \quad z_{K_1} < \cdots < z_{K_p}.$$

We now impose periodic boundary conditions on the wave functions h_m . These periodicity conditions lead to constraints on the momenta, known as the Bethe equations.

For example, in the simplest case $N = 2, D = 0$ (cf. §4) we obtained

$$f_0(y, z) = \begin{cases} \exp i(\eta y - \zeta z), & y < z; \\ \theta(\eta + \zeta) \exp i(\eta y - \zeta z), & y > z. \end{cases}$$

The periodicity conditions

$$f_0(0, z) = f_0(L, z), \quad f_0(y, 0) = f_0(y, L)$$

lead immediately to the Bethe equations

$$1 = \theta(\eta + \zeta) e^{i\eta L} \quad e^{i\zeta L} = \theta(\eta + \zeta).$$

For the case $n = 2, p = 1$, the conditions (5.3) lead to

$$f_0(y_1, y_2, 0) = f_0(y_1, y_2, L) \quad f_0(0, y_2, z) = -f_0(y_2, L, z).$$

From the definition of f_0 in this case we get, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(\eta_1 + \zeta) \theta(\eta_2 + \zeta) (\exp i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2) - \exp i(\eta_1 y_2 + \eta_2 y_1)) \\ & = \exp i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2 - L\zeta) - \exp i(\eta_1 y_2 + \eta_2 y_1 - L\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

and (say for $y_2 < z$)

$$\exp i(\eta_2 y_2 - \zeta z) - \exp i(\eta_1 y_2 - \zeta z) = -\theta(\eta_2 + \zeta) \exp i(\eta_1 y_2 + \eta_2 L - \zeta z)$$

From these two equations we get the Bethe equations

$$\begin{aligned} \exp iL\zeta &= \theta(\eta_1 + \zeta) \theta(\eta_2 + \zeta); \\ 1 &= \theta(\eta_1 + \zeta) \exp i\eta_1 L, \quad 1 = \theta(\eta_2 + \zeta) \exp i\eta_2 L. \end{aligned}$$

All other periodicity conditions follow from these.

Remark. The second example shows that one must anti-symmetrize in momenta to achieve periodicity. For example, suppose $N = 3$, $D = 1$, and $0 < y_2 < z$. The condition $h_0(0, y_2, z) = -h_0(y_2, L, z)$ for the basic wave function obtained in §4 becomes

$$h_0(0, y_2, z) = \exp i(\eta_2 y_2 - \zeta z) = -h_0(y_2, L, z) = \theta(\eta_2 + \zeta) \exp i(\eta_1 y_2 + \eta_2 L - \zeta z),$$

leading to the contradiction

$$\exp i\eta_2 y_2 = -\theta(\eta_2 + \zeta) \exp i(\eta_1 y_2 + \eta_2 L).$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let*

$$e^{i\eta_k L} = \prod_{l=1}^p \theta(\zeta_l + \eta_k) \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (5.4)$$

$$e^{i\zeta_l L} = \prod_{k=1}^n \theta(\eta_k + \zeta_l) \quad l = 1, \dots, p \quad (5.5)$$

Then the densities h_m defined by (5.1), (5.2), and (5.3) are L -periodic in each variable.

The equations (5.4), (5.5) are known as the Bethe equations. The proof is given in Beals, Sattinger, and Williams¹⁵.

6. CONSTRUCTION OF A DIRAC GROUND STATE

A solution of the Bethe equations gives an eigenvector of the Hamiltonian with energy

$$E = -c_1 \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k + c_2 \sum_{l=1}^p \zeta_l, \quad (6.1)$$

where n and p denote the maximum number of particles of types 1 and 2 for states in the space $\mathcal{F}_{N,D}$, i.e. $n = \frac{1}{2}(N + D)$, $p = \frac{1}{2}(N - D)$; cf. (4.5). The energy is not bounded below. We construct a stable ground state by filling all negative energy states.

Taking logarithms in the Bethe equations (5.4), (5.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k - \frac{2\pi}{L} I_k &= \sum_{l=1}^p \frac{1}{iL} \log \theta(\eta_k + \zeta_l), \quad k = 1, \dots, n \\ \zeta_l - \frac{2\pi}{L} J_l &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{iL} \log \theta(\eta_k + \zeta_l) \quad l = 1, \dots, p \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$\theta(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda + i\omega}{\lambda - i\omega}, \quad \omega = \frac{\gamma^2}{4\alpha^2} \quad (6.3)$$

and I_k are distinct integers, as are J_l .

Taking

$$\frac{1}{i} \log \theta(\lambda) = \pi - 2 \tan^{-1} \frac{\lambda}{\omega}, \quad (6.4)$$

we write the Bethe equations in the equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k &= \frac{2\pi}{L} I_k + \frac{2}{L} \sum_{l=1}^p \tan^{-1} \frac{\eta_l + \zeta_l}{\omega}, \\ \zeta_l &= \frac{2\pi}{L} J_l + \frac{2}{L} \sum_{k=1}^n \tan^{-1} \frac{\eta_k + \zeta_l}{\omega} \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

where the I_k are distinct half integers if p is odd, distinct integers if p is even, and similarly for the J_l in relation to n . We assume for convenience that both are even and, without loss of generality, that

$$I_1 < I_2 < \cdots < I_n; \quad J_1 < J_2 < \cdots < J_p. \quad (6.6)$$

These conditions imply that there is a solution to (6.5) for which the η_k and the ζ_l are themselves strictly increasing. Indeed equations (6.7) are the variational equations for the functional

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k^2 + \sum_{l=1}^p \zeta_l^2 \right) + \frac{\pi}{L} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n I_k \eta_k + \sum_{l=1}^p J_l \zeta_l \right) - \sum_{k,l=1}^{n,p} M(\eta_k + \zeta_l)$$

where

$$M(\lambda) = \int_0^\lambda \frac{2}{L} \tan^{-1} \frac{s}{\omega} ds.$$

For convenience in this section we take $\omega = 1$. (This amounts to fixing a length scale, since ω has the dimension 1/length.)

Theorem 6.1. *The Bethe equations have at least one solution for each set of distinct integers I_k and distinct integers J_l .*

Proof. The term $M(\lambda)$ grows only linearly in its argument, so the quadratic terms dominate Σ as $\eta, \zeta \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that S is bounded below and tends to infinity for large values of its argument. It therefore always has a minimum. $\square$

Uniqueness of the solutions is a subtler question. For large values of the densities, Σ is not convex, so in principle it could have multiple critical points. In the limiting cases of interest, however, we will obtain uniqueness results. In fact we use the finite case to motivate the limiting cases. Therefore we save space and smooth the exposition by stating most of the finite case results without proof and leaving mathematical details for the limiting cases.

We now turn to the construction of a stable ground state, or vacuum state. For the ground state we assume that $D = 0$ (hence $n = p = N/2$) and we consider the case when the velocities of the fermions have opposite signs:

$$c_2 < 0 < c_1. \quad (6.7)$$

Thus $\eta > 0$ and $\zeta > 0$ mean negative energy for the corresponding particles. *Our procedure will be to fill the available negative energy states from the top down* by choosing consecutive integers I_k, J_l such that the solution $\{\eta_k, \zeta_l\}$ is positive *but minimally so*; we then take the infinite length and infinite density limits. The equations (6.5) are symmetric, so we take the data $I_k = J_k$ and look for a solution with $\eta_k = \zeta_k$. Thus we want

$$I_k = J_k = k - \kappa_n \quad (6.8)$$

where κ_n is an integer to be chosen.

Theorem 6.2. *There is a largest integer $\kappa_n = O(n)$ such that (6.5) with data (6.8) has a positive solution. This solution is unique and satisfies $\eta_k = \zeta_k$, and $\eta_1 < \dots < \eta_n$. Moreover, $\eta_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $L \rightarrow \infty$ with n/L bounded above.*

We refer to the solution in Theorem 6.2 as the *cut-off ground state* (CGS). Our interest is the infinite length, finite density limit, and then the infinite density limit. For this purpose we recast (6.5) as a pair of integral equations. Assuming still that $n = p$, we let $\Delta = 2\pi n/L$ and define functions on the interval $[0, \Delta)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} I(\xi) &= \frac{2\pi}{L} I_k, & J(\xi) &= \frac{2\pi}{L} J_k, & \frac{2\pi(k-1)}{L} &\leq \xi < \frac{2\pi k}{L}; \\ \eta(\xi) &= \eta_k, & \zeta_L(\xi) &= \zeta_k & \frac{2\pi(k-1)}{L} &\leq \xi < \frac{2\pi k}{L}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

(We hope that context will prevent confusion between the use of η and ζ to denote *functions* and the use of η as ζ as pure variables.)

Equations (6.2) with $n = p$ are equivalent to integral equations

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\xi) &= I(\xi) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi n/L} \tan^{-1}(\eta(\xi) + \zeta(\xi')) d\xi, \\ \zeta(\xi) &= J(\xi) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi n/L} \tan^{-1}(\eta(\xi') + \zeta(\xi)) d\xi'. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

It can be shown that for every set of data I, J corresponding to sets of integers indexed as in (6.6), there is a solution (η, ζ) with positive jumps at each of the points of discontinuity $2\pi k/L$. We define approximate inverse functions accordingly:

$$\xi(\eta) = \inf\{\xi : \eta(\xi) \geq \eta\}; \quad \xi(\zeta) = \inf\{\xi : \zeta(\xi) \geq \zeta\}. \quad (6.11)$$

Remark. These functions have jumps of size $2\pi/L$, so their difference quotients with respect to η and to ζ respectively count the number of particles associated to a given momentum interval

With the Bethe equations in the form (6.10), it is clear how to pass to the infinite length, finite density limit. To pass also to the infinite density limit we observe that (6.10) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\xi) - \eta(0) &= I(\xi) - I(0) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi n/L} [\tan^{-1}(\eta(\xi) + \zeta(\xi')) - \tan^{-1}(\eta(0) + \zeta(\xi'))] d\xi'; \\ \zeta(\xi) - \zeta(0) &= J(\xi) - J(0) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi n/L} [\tan^{-1}(\eta(\xi') + \zeta(\xi)) - \tan^{-1}(\eta(\xi') + \zeta(0))] d\xi'.\end{aligned}\tag{6.12}$$

The data (6.8) give rise to the functions $I(\xi) = J(\xi) = \xi - c_\Delta$ in the $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit, Δ finite, and in this limit $\eta(0) = z(0) = 0$. Thus in the form (6.12) we pass to the $\Delta = \infty$ limit and find the equations for the *ground state* ($\eta_0, \zeta_0 = \eta_0$):

$$\eta_0(\xi) = \xi + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty [\tan^{-1}(\eta_0(\xi) + \eta_0(\xi')) - \tan^{-1}(\eta_0(\xi'))] d\xi'.\tag{6.13}$$

We obtain existence and uniqueness of the solution to (6.13) by converting it to a linear integral equation for the derivative of the inverse function:

$$\rho_0(\eta(\xi)) = \frac{1}{(d\eta_0/d\xi)(\xi)}.\tag{6.14}$$

Differentiate (6.9), multiply by ρ_0 , and change the variable of integration to obtain:

$$\rho_0(\eta) = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho_0(\eta') d\eta'}{1 + (\eta + \eta')^2} = 1 - K(\rho_0).\tag{6.15}$$

Remarks. 1. In view of the remark after (6.9), ρ_0 may be thought of as the *particle density function* for the ground state.

2. Note that the kernel of the integral operator K satisfies

$$\sup_{\eta \geq 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\eta'}{1 + (\eta + \eta')^2} = \frac{1}{2}.\tag{6.16}$$

Therefore T has norm $1/2$ as an operator in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and (6.16) has a unique solution, obtainable by successive approximations. The solution is positive and bounded.

In the next section we need both the analytic continuation $\rho_{0,t}$ of ρ to the negative axis and a dual function $\rho_{0,t}^*$ that is the analytic continuation of the solution to the same equation but with a change of sign:

$$\rho_0^*(\eta) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho_0^*(\eta') d\eta'}{1 + (\eta + \eta')^2} = 1 + K\rho_{0,t}^*(\eta), \eta \geq 0.\tag{6.17}$$

In terms of these functions we define functions ξ_0 and ξ_0^*

$$\xi_0(\eta) = \int_0^\eta \rho_{0,t}(s) ds; \quad \xi_0^*(\eta) = \int_0^\eta \rho_{0,t}^*(s) ds, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}.\tag{6.18}$$

Theorem 6.3. *The extension $\rho_{0,t}$ of the particle density function ρ_0 and the dual function $\rho_{0,t}^*$ satisfy the estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \rho_{0,t}(\eta) < 1 < \rho_{0,t}^*(\eta) < 2, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}; \\ \rho_{0,t}(\eta) &= 1 + O(1/\eta), \quad \rho_{0,t}^*(\eta) = 2 + O(1/\eta) \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow \infty; \\ \pi\eta\rho_{0,t}(\eta) &= -1 + O(\log|\eta|/|\eta|), \quad \rho_{0,t}^* = 1 + O(1/\eta) \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

The functions ξ_0 and ξ_0^ have asymptotics*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0(\eta) &= \eta + O(1), \quad \xi_0^*(\eta) = 2\eta + O(1) \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow +\infty; \\ \pi\xi_0(\eta) &= -1 + O(\log|\eta|/|\eta|), \quad \xi_0^*(\eta) = \eta + O(1) \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

In particular the function ξ_0 is a diffeomorphism of the line. Its inverse function η_0 satisfies the integral equation (6.13) on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. It follows from (6.16) that the solution extends analytically. The kernel is positive, so $0 < \rho_0 < 1$. The asymptotics of ρ_0 and of ξ_0 are easily verified from (6.17). The argument for $\rho_{0,t}^*$ and ξ_0^* are similar. Finally, (6.13) results from substituting (6.16) in the definition of ξ_0 and using $\rho_0 d\eta' = d\xi'$ to change the variable of integration. $\square$

We conclude this section with the integral expressions of various quantities associated with a state described by a pair of functions η, ζ . For a finite number of particles the momentum P and energy E are

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k - \sum_{l=1}^n \zeta_l = \frac{L}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi n/L} \eta(\xi) d\xi - \frac{L}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi n/L} \zeta(\xi) d\xi; \\ E &= -c_1 \sum_{k=1}^n \eta + c_2 \sum_{l=1}^n \zeta_l = -\frac{c_1 L}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi n/L} \eta(\xi) d\xi + \frac{c_2 L}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi n/L} \zeta(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

The particle number $n = p$ itself can be expressed in terms of the inverse functions:

$$n = \frac{L}{2\pi} \sup \xi(\eta) = \frac{L}{2\pi} \sup \xi(\zeta). \quad (6.22)$$

Therefore in the infinite length limit the particle densities per unit length are

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \sup \xi(\eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \rho(\eta) d\eta; \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \sup \xi(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \sigma(\zeta) d\zeta. \quad (6.23)$$

7. FINITE PARTICLE EXCITED STATES

In this section we construct particle-hole excitations of the ground state. These have finite positive energy with respect to the ground state in the infinite density limit, confirming the stability of the ground state.

We begin with a single particle/hole excited state for an η particle, with momentum $\eta < 0$ for the particle and $\eta > 0$ for the hole. Consider first a cut off ground

state with a high particle density, so that there are large momentum particles. Denote the functions for this CGS by $\eta, \zeta = \eta$. We change the CGS data by choosing k such that η_k is close to η_h and changing I_k to $I_k - s$, where the integer s is chosen so that $I_k - s$ is close to $L\xi_0(\eta_p)/2\pi$. In the integral equation picture (6.10) the new data is given by functions $\bar{I}, \bar{J} = I$. Here $\bar{I} = I$ except on an interval of length $2\pi/L$ located near $\xi_h = \xi_0(\eta_h)$, on which it differs from I by approximately $\xi_p - \xi_h$, $\xi_p = \xi_0(\eta_p)$. This change in data changes the η solution by a bounded amount on that interval and otherwise changes both $\eta(\xi)$ and $\zeta(\xi)$ by $O(1/L)$. Therefore we write the solution $(\bar{\eta}, \bar{\zeta})$ of the perturbed equation as

$$\bar{\eta}(\xi) = \eta(\xi) + e_1(\xi)/L + f(\xi), \quad \bar{\zeta}(\xi) = \zeta(\xi) + e_2(\xi)/L \quad (7.1)$$

where e_1 vanishes on the critical interval and f is supported on the critical interval. With a similar notation for energy and momentum, the excitation momentum and energy are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta P &= \bar{P} - P = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\Delta [e_1(\xi) - e_2(\xi)] d\xi + f; \\ \delta E &= \bar{E} - E = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\Delta [c_1 e_1(\xi) - c_2 e_2(\xi)] d\xi + c_1 f. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Here we abuse notation and write f also for the *value* of $\bar{\eta} - \eta$ on the critical interval.

With large L the integral equations (6.10) give

$$e_1(\xi) \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\Delta \frac{[e_1(\xi) + e_2(\xi')] d\xi'}{1 + (\eta(\xi) + \eta(\xi'))^2}; \quad (7.3a)$$

$$f \approx \xi_p - \xi_h + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\Delta [\tan^{-1}(\eta_h + f + \eta(\xi')) - \tan^{-1}(\eta_h + \eta(\xi'))] d\xi'; \quad (7.3b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_2(\xi) &\approx 2[\tan^{-1}(\eta_h + f + \eta(\xi)) - \tan^{-1}(\eta_h + \eta(\xi))] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\Delta \frac{[e_1(\xi') + e_2(\xi)] d\xi'}{1 + (\eta(\xi) + \eta(\xi'))^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3c)$$

Here (7.3a) is valid outside the critical interval.

In the infinite length, infinite density limit (6.13) and (7.3b) show that $f = \eta_h - \eta_p$, so $\bar{\eta} \approx \eta_h$ on the critical interval, as desired. In this limit the remaining equations (7.3) become

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(\eta) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{[e_1(\eta) + e_2(\eta')] \rho_0(\eta') d\eta'}{1 + (\eta + \eta')^2}; \\ e_2(\eta) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{[e_1(\eta') + e_2(\eta)] \rho_0(\eta') d\eta'}{1 + (\eta + \eta')^2} \\ &+ 2 \tan^{-1}(\eta_p + \eta) - 2 \tan^{-1}(\eta_h + \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

We write $\tilde{e}_j(\eta)$ for $e_j(\xi_0(\eta))\rho_0(\eta)$: The integral equation (6.18) for ρ_0 allows (7.4) to be rewritten:

$$\tilde{e}_1(\eta) = K(\tilde{e}_2)(\eta); \quad (7.5)$$

$$\tilde{e}_2(\eta) = 2 \tan^{-1}(\eta_p + \eta) - 2 \tan^{-1}(\eta_h + \eta) + T(\tilde{e}_1)(\eta)$$

In the limit the excitation momentum and energy are

$$\begin{aligned}\delta P &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty [\tilde{e}_1(\eta) - \tilde{e}_2(\eta)] d\eta + (\eta_p - \eta_h); \\ \delta E &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty [-c_1 \tilde{e}_1(\eta) + c_2 \tilde{e}_2(\eta)] d\eta - c_1(\eta_p - \eta_h) \\ &= -\alpha \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty [\tilde{e}_1(\eta) + \tilde{e}_2(\eta)] d\eta + \eta_p - \eta_h \right) \\ &\quad + c_0 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty [\tilde{e}_1(\eta) - \tilde{e}_2(\eta)] d\eta - \eta_p + \eta_h \right).\end{aligned}\tag{7.6}$$

(The last part of (7.6) follows from the second part, since $2\alpha = c_1 - c_2$ and $2c_0 = c_1 + c_2$.)

The system (7.5) and the equations (7.6) thus characterize this single particle/hole excitation of the ground state. Again the fact that K has norm $1/2$ implies that (7.6) has, for each choice of η_h and η_p , a unique solution. Positivity of the kernel implies that the solution (e_1, e_2) is negative when $\eta_p - \eta_h$ is negative, so the excitation energy is positive. We can be more precise.

Theorem 7.1. *The excited state momentum and the excitation energy can be expressed in terms of the (extended) functions ξ_0, ξ_0^* of Theorem 6.3. They have the form*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta P &= P_p - P_h = P(\eta_p) - P(\eta_h) = \xi_0(\eta_p) - \xi_0(\eta_h); \\ \delta E &= E_p - E_h = E(\eta_p) - E(\eta_h) \\ &= [-\alpha \xi_0^*(\eta_p) - c_0 \xi_0(\eta_p)] - [-\alpha \xi_0^*(\eta_h) - c_0 \xi_0(\eta_h)].\end{aligned}\tag{7.7}$$

These functions have the asymptotics

$$\begin{aligned}E_p &\sim 2\alpha e^{\pi|P_p|} \quad \text{as } P_p \rightarrow -\infty, \\ E_h &\sim -c_1 P_h \quad \text{as } P_h \rightarrow +\infty.\end{aligned}\tag{7.8}$$

Proof. The inhomogeneous term for the pair (η_p, η_h) is the sum of the inhomogeneous term for the pair $(\eta_p, 0)$ and the inhomogeneous term for the pair $(0, \eta_h)$ and is an odd function of its two arguments. Therefore it suffices to consider the case $\eta_p = \tilde{\eta}, \eta_h = 0$. We make the $\tilde{\eta}$ dependence explicit by writing $\tilde{e}_1(\eta, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{e}_2(\eta, \tilde{\eta})$. Set

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}}{\partial} [\tilde{e}_1 + \tilde{e}_2]; \quad f_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}}{\partial} [\tilde{e}_2 - \tilde{e}_1].\tag{7.9}$$

(Note that the $\tilde{e}_j$ vanish at $\tilde{\eta} = 0$, so the sum and difference can be recovered by integrating the f_j from $\tilde{\eta} = 0$.) Adding and subtracting first derivatives with respect to $\tilde{\eta}$ in (7.5), we find that as functions of η the f_j satisfy

$$f_1 = K f_1 + k, \quad f_2 = -K f_2 + k, \quad k(\eta, \tilde{\eta}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 + (\eta + \tilde{\eta})^2}.\tag{7.10}$$

Note that k is the kernel of the operator K . Let F_j denote the integral operator that has kernel f_j and I_d the identity operator. Then (7.10) is equivalent to the operator equations

$$(I_d - K)(I_d + F_1) = I_d, \quad (I_d + K)(I_d - F_2) = I_d.\tag{7.11}$$

The integral $\int_0^\infty f_j(\eta, \tilde{\eta}) d\eta$ is the same as the function $F_j(1)(\tilde{\eta})$, where 1 denotes the function that is identically 1. It follows from (7.9) and (7.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \tilde{e}_1(\eta, \tilde{\eta}) d\eta + \tilde{\eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} \left[\int_0^\infty (f_1 - f_2) + 2 \right] d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} [(F_1(1) + 1) + (1 - F_2(1))] d\eta; \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \tilde{e}_2(\eta, \tilde{\eta}) d\eta &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} \left[\int_0^\infty (f_1 + f_2) \right] d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} [F_1(1) + F_2(1)] d\eta = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\eta}} [(F_1(1) + 1) - (1 - F_2(1))] d\eta \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

In view of (7.11), $F_1(1) + 1$ is the solution of $u = Ku + 1$, while $1 - F_2(1)$ is the solution of $v = -Kv + 1$. By (6.17) and (6.16) these functions are $\rho_{0,t}^*$ and ρ_0 , respectively. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \tilde{e}_1(\eta, \tilde{\eta}) d\eta + \tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} [\xi_0^*(\tilde{\eta}) + \xi_0(\tilde{\eta})], \quad (7.13)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \tilde{e}_2(\eta, \tilde{\eta}) d\eta = \frac{1}{2} [\xi_0^*(\tilde{\eta}) - \xi_0(\tilde{\eta})]. \quad (7.14)$$

The momentum term $P(\tilde{\eta})$ in (7.6) is the difference of the two expressions (7.13) and (7.14). Similarly, the excitation energy term $E(\tilde{\eta})$ in (7.6) is the linear combination of (7.13) and (7.14) with coefficients $-c_1$ and c_2 respectively. This proves (7.7). The asymptotics (7.8) follow from (7.7) and the asymptotics (6.20) of ξ_0 and ξ_0^* . $\square$

Exactly similar considerations apply to a single particle/hole ζ excitation, with the roles of e_1 and e_2 interchanged. In particular, the indices 1 and 2 in (7.9) should be interchanged throughout.

Compound excitations may be treated in exactly the same way. Consider the case of particle/hole excitations in η described by $\eta_{h,i} \geq 0$, $\eta_{p,i} \leq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ and in ζ described by $\zeta_{h,j} \geq 0$, $\zeta_{p,j} \leq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, s$. Then in the infinite density limit the Bethe equations become

$$\tilde{e}_1(\eta) = K(\tilde{e}_2)(\eta) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^s [\tan^{-1}(\eta + \zeta_{p,j}) - \tan^{-1}(\eta + \zeta_{h,j})], \quad (7.15)$$

$$\tilde{e}_2(\eta) = K(\tilde{e}_1)(\eta) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^r [\tan^{-1}(\eta + \eta_{p,i}) - \tan^{-1}(\eta + \eta_{h,i})].$$

This state is a superposition of corresponding single particle/hole excited states. Therefore the associated momentum and excitation energy are given by

$$\delta P = \sum_{i=1}^r [\xi_0(\eta_{p,i}) - \xi_0(\eta_{h,i})] + \sum_{j=1}^s [\xi_0(\zeta_{p,j}) - \xi_0(\zeta_{h,j})]; \quad (7.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E &= -\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^r [\xi_0^*(\eta_{p,i}) - \xi_0^*(\eta_{h,i})] + \sum_{j=1}^s [\xi_0^*(\zeta_{p,j}) - \xi_0^*(\zeta_{h,j})] \right) \\ &\quad + c_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^r [\xi_0(\eta_{p,i}) - \xi_0(\eta_{h,i})] - \sum_{j=1}^s [\xi_0(\zeta_{p,j}) - \xi_0(\zeta_{h,j})] \right). \end{aligned}$$

8. FINITE DENSITY PERTURBATIONS OF THE GROUND STATE

In this section we study large deviations from the ground state. Again we motivate the procedure by looking briefly at the finite length, finite particle case in the form of the integral equations (6.10). Denote by $I_0 = J_0$ the data that corresponds to the CGS data (6.8). The CGS data uses all the integers from an interval with endpoints $\pm O(n/2)$ and we are temporarily applying a low energy cut-off that corresponds to the upper end of this interval, so the data that corresponds to an admissible perturbation of the CGS satisfies $I, J \leq I_0$. The solution can be found by iteration from the integral equations (6.10). The monotonicity properties of the mapping imply that the solution is dominated by the CGS (η_0, ζ_0) :

$$\eta(\xi) \leq \eta_0(\xi), \quad \zeta(\xi) \leq \zeta_0(\xi). \quad (8.1)$$

Moreover if the perturbation is to have finite relative energy density in the limit, we expect that $I, J \approx I_0$ for large ξ . Note also that the jumps in the data must be at least as great as the jumps $2\pi/L$ in I_0 . We pass to the infinite length, infinite (total) density limit and look for a solution of an analogue of (6.12):

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\xi) - I(\xi) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty [\tan^{-1}(\eta(\xi) + \zeta(\xi')) - \tan^{-1}(\eta_0(0) + \zeta_0(\xi'))] d\xi'; \\ \zeta(\xi) - J(\xi) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty [\tan^{-1}(\eta(\xi') + \zeta(\xi)) - \tan^{-1}(\eta_0(\xi') + \zeta_0(\xi))] d\xi'. \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

According to the preceding discussion the natural assumptions on the data are

$$\frac{dI}{d\xi} \geq 1, \quad \frac{dJ}{d\xi} \geq 1; \quad I(\xi) \approx J(\xi) \approx \xi, \quad \xi \gg 0. \quad (8.3)$$

It can be shown that finite particle solutions whose data is such that the formal limits are (8.2) converge to solutions of (8.2). However it is more convenient for what follows to write the equations for the particle density functions $\rho = d\xi/d\eta$, $\sigma = d\xi/d\zeta$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\eta) \cdot I'(\eta) &= 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\sigma(\zeta) d\zeta}{1 + (\eta + \zeta)^2} = 1 - (K\sigma)(\eta); \\ \sigma(\zeta) \cdot J'(\zeta) &= 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\rho(\eta) d\eta}{1 + (\eta + \zeta)^2} = 1 - (K\rho)(\zeta), \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

where

$$I'(\eta) = \frac{dI}{d\xi}(\xi(\eta)); \quad J'(\zeta) = \frac{dJ}{d\xi}(\xi(\zeta)).$$

We have removed the upper bound on particle energies, so the integrations in (8.4) need to be taken over the whole line. Now K has norm 1 as an operator in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ so solvability of (8.4) becomes an issue. We rewrite (8.4) in the form

$$\rho = \Theta(1 - K\sigma), \quad \sigma = \Psi(1 - K\rho) \quad (8.4')$$

and adopt the point of view that the functions Θ and Ψ are the data. For the ground state the data are $\Psi = \Theta = 1$, the Heaviside function: $\Theta(\zeta) = 0, -\infty < \zeta < 0; = 1$

$s \geq 0$. More generally we can express the conditions (8.3) and the assumption that our states are perturbations of the ground state by requiring

$$0 \leq \Theta, \Psi \leq 1; \quad \lim_{|\eta| \rightarrow \infty} (\Theta - \Theta_0) = \lim_{|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty} (\Psi - \Psi_0) = 0, \quad (8.5)$$

while (8.1) gives an implicit constraint on the data (Θ, Ψ) in terms of the solution. In fact (8.1) implies the reverse relation between the inverse functions, leading to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\eta} (\rho - \rho_0) \geq 0, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\zeta} (\sigma - \sigma_0) \geq 0, \quad \text{all } \eta, \sigma. \quad (8.6)$$

Moreover the cut-off solution (η, ζ) and the corresponding CGS (η_0, ζ_0) at any given step were defined over the same ξ interval, so the relative particle density should be zero:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\rho - \rho_0) = 0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma - \sigma_0). \quad (8.7)$$

We show below that (8.7) is necessary for the relative energy density to be finite.

Theorem 8.1. *Under assumption (8.5) the equations (8.4) have a unique solution. For the solution we have*

$$0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1; \quad \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\eta) = \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\zeta) = 1; \quad \lim_{\eta \rightarrow -\infty} \rho(\eta) = \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow -\infty} \sigma(\zeta) = 0. \quad (8.8)$$

Proof. We use Θ and Ψ also to denote the operations of multiplication by the corresponding functions. Then the equations are

$$\rho + \Theta K \sigma = \Theta, \quad \sigma + \Psi K \rho = \Psi.$$

We apply the operator $Id - \Psi K$ to the first equation and $Id - \Theta K$ to the second and reorganize to obtain

$$(Id - \Theta K \Psi K) \rho = \Theta - \Theta K \Psi, \quad (Id - \Psi K \Theta K) \sigma = \Psi - \Psi K \Theta. \quad (8.9)$$

It is enough to show that $\Theta K \Psi$ and $\Psi K \Theta$ have norm < 1 . The assumptions on Θ and Ψ imply easily that the integral of the kernel of either operator against either variable is bounded away from 1, so the operators have norm < 1 in any L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The asymptotics follow easily from the assumptions about Θ and Ψ , together with the trivial estimates

$$0 \leq \rho \leq \Theta; \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq \Psi. \quad \square$$

One must make stronger assumptions to ensure finiteness of the integrals (8.6) and (8.7) and the relative energy density

$$E = -\frac{c_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\rho - \rho_0) \eta d\eta + \frac{c_2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma - \sigma_0) \zeta d\zeta. \quad (8.10)$$

Theorem 8.2. *The integrals (8.6), (8.7) are finite if $(\Theta - \Theta_0)$ and $(\Psi - \Psi_0)$ are integrable.*

If $\eta(\Theta_0 - \Theta)$ and $\zeta(\Psi_0 - \Psi)$ are integrable, then E is finite if and only if (8.7) holds. If so, then $E \geq 0$.

Proof. Note that

$$\rho - \rho_0 = (\Theta - \Theta_0)(1 - K\sigma_0) - \Theta K(\sigma - \sigma_0), \quad (8.11)$$

with a similar equation for $\sigma - \sigma_0$. Analyzing this system as above, we see that integrability of $\rho - \rho_0$ and $\sigma - \sigma_0$ follows from integrability of the data in the analogue of (8.9), which follows in turn from integrability of $\Theta - \Theta_0$ and $\Psi - \Psi_0$.

Note that integrability of $\eta(\rho_0 - \rho)$ at $-\infty$ follows immediately from (8.11) and integrability of $\eta(\Theta_0 - \Theta)$. At $+\infty$ we observe from (8.11) that the necessary and sufficient condition is the integrability of $\eta K(\sigma - \sigma_0)$. Assume that $\zeta^2(\Psi - \Psi_0)$ is integrable at $-\infty$. Then $\zeta^2(\sigma - \sigma_0)$ is also integrable at $-\infty$ and Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem implies that

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow +\infty} K(\sigma - \sigma_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma - \sigma_0) d\zeta. \quad (8.13)$$

This proves the necessity of the conditions (8.7).

Conversely one can deduce the integrability of $\eta K(\sigma - \sigma_0)$ and $\zeta K(\rho - \rho_0)$ from conditions (8.7) together with integrability of $\eta(\rho_0 - \rho)$ and $\zeta(\sigma_0 - \sigma)$. To see this, note that the mean-value zero condition allows us to replace the kernel of K with the kernel

$$\tilde{k}(\eta, \zeta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1 + (\eta + \zeta)^2} - \frac{1}{1 + \eta^2} \right] \quad (8.14)$$

The corresponding operator takes $L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |z|) d\zeta)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |\eta|) d\eta)$, since we can multiply $\tilde{k}$ by $(1 + |\eta|)/(1 + |z|)$, take the absolute value, and estimate the integral with respect to η .

Finally, to show positivity of the relative energy density we let g_1 and g_2 denote the integrals in (8.6), so that

$$\frac{dg_1}{d\eta} = \rho, \quad \frac{dg_2}{d\zeta} = \sigma; \quad g_j \geq 0, \quad g_j(\pm\infty) = 0. \quad (8.15)$$

Integrating by parts in (8.10) we find that

$$E = \frac{c_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(\eta) d\eta - \frac{c_2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_2(\zeta) d\zeta \geq 0. \quad \square$$

9. THERMODYNAMICS

We consider now the thermodynamics of the finite density perturbations of the ground state that were constructed in the preceding section. We follow, with appropriate modifications, Yang and Yang⁵ and Okuma⁷. Consider first the case of

finite L , a state with a very large number of particles, and data I, J as in (8.1). Define

$$\begin{aligned} h(s) &= s - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\Delta [\tan^{-1}(s + \zeta(\xi')) - \tan^{-1}(\eta(0) + \zeta(\xi'))] d\xi', \quad s \geq \eta(0); \\ k(t) &= t - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\Delta [\tan^{-1}(\eta(\xi') + t) - \tan^{-1}(\eta(\xi') + \zeta(0))] d\xi', \quad t \geq \zeta(0). \end{aligned} \quad (9.1)$$

These are strictly increasing functions with the properties that at the (discrete) values taken by the data the values are

$$h(\eta(\xi)) = I(\xi), \quad k(\zeta(\xi)) = J(\xi). \quad (9.2)$$

The number m of η particles from our state with momenta in a small interval $(\eta, \eta + d\eta)$ is proportional to the length of the corresponding ξ interval, i.e. if $\eta = \eta(\xi)$, $\eta + d\eta = \eta(\xi + d\xi)$ then

$$m \approx \frac{L}{2\pi} d\xi. \quad (9.3)$$

The function I has jumps that are positive integer multiples of $L/2\pi$, so

$$m \leq n = \frac{L}{2\pi} (I(\xi + d\xi) - I(\xi)).$$

If data I at the m jump sites in the interval $(\xi, \xi + d\xi)$ is changed in such a way that I remains strictly increasing and the values of $LI/2\pi$ are integers, the effect on the total energy of the corresponding state will be negligible: $O((d\eta)^2)$. The possible sites are indexed by the n integers between $LI(\xi)/2\pi$ and $L(I\xi)/2\pi$. Thus the contribution to entropy from the interval is $\log \binom{n}{m}$.

In the infinite length and infinite density limits the density functions for the numbers n and m above have densities with respect to the momentum η given by $\rho = d\xi/d\eta$ and $\rho_t = dh/d\eta$ respectively. As before ρ is the particle density. We take $\rho_h = \rho_t - \rho$ to be the *hole density* and ρ_t to be the (formal) total density. Similar considerations apply to ζ particles and give particle density σ and hole density $\sigma_h = \sigma_t - \sigma$. In the notation of §8 the relations among these functions are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \Theta \rho_t, \quad \rho_h = (1 - \Theta) \rho_t; & \sigma &= \Psi \sigma_t, \quad \sigma_h = (1 - \Psi) \sigma_t; \\ \rho_t &= 1 - K\sigma = 1 - K\Psi \sigma_t; & \sigma_t &= 1 - K\rho = 1 - K\Theta \rho_t. \end{aligned} \quad (9.2)$$

Returning to the interval $(\xi, \xi + d\xi)$, let $(\eta, \eta + d\eta)$ denote its image under h^{-1} . According to the preceding argument and Stirling's formula, the entropy density over $(\eta + d\eta)$ is approximately

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{L}{2\pi} [\rho_t \log \rho_t - \rho \log \rho - \rho_h \log \rho_h] \\ &= \frac{L}{2\pi} [\rho \log(\rho_t/\rho) + \rho_h \log(\rho_t/\rho_h)] \\ &= -\frac{L}{2\pi} \rho_t [\Theta \log \Theta + (1 - \Theta) \log(1 - \Theta)]. \end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

A similar formula holds for particles of the second type. Thus in the infinite length, infinite density limit the entropy per unit length is

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\rho_t \log \rho_t - \rho \log \rho - \rho_h \log \rho_h] d\eta \quad (9.4)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\sigma_t \log \sigma_t - \sigma \log \sigma - \sigma_h \log \sigma_h] d\zeta.$$

The entropy density for the ground state is zero.

At a given finite temperature T the free energy density of a perturbation (ρ, σ) of the ground state relative to that of the ground state is

$$F_T(\rho, \sigma) = E - T S \quad (9.5)$$

where the relative energy density E is given by (8.9). In order for E to be finite, we showed that the relative particle densities must vanish:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\rho - \rho_0) d\eta = 0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma - \sigma_0) d\zeta. \quad (9.6)$$

Thus the equilibrium solution should minimize F subject to the constraints (9.6).

We consider briefly the formal argument for an equilibrium. Equations (9.2) relate variations in ρ_t , ρ_h , σ_t , and σ_h to variations in the particle densities ρ , σ :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_t &= -K\dot{\sigma}, & \dot{\rho}_h &= -\dot{\rho} - K\dot{\sigma}; \\ \dot{\sigma}_t &= -K\dot{\rho}, & \dot{\sigma}_h &= -\dot{\sigma} - K\dot{\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.7)$$

The constraints (9.6) require

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\rho} d\eta = 0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\sigma} d\zeta. \quad (9.8)$$

Taking into account (9.7) and the symmetry of the operator K , we can express the variation in the free energy as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{F} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\rho} [-c_1\eta + T \log(\rho/\rho_h) + TK \log(\sigma_t/\sigma_h)] d\eta \quad (9.9) \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\sigma} [c_2\zeta + T \log(\sigma/\sigma_h) + TK \log(\rho_t/\rho_h)] d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Following Yang and Yang⁵, one can introduce functions ϵ_j :

$$e^{-\epsilon_1/T} = \rho/\rho_h, \quad e^{-\epsilon_2/T} = \sigma/\sigma_h. \quad (9.10)$$

and derive Euler-Lagrange equations in the form

$$\epsilon_1 = A_1 T - c_1 \eta + TK \log(1 + e^{-\epsilon_2/T}), \quad (9.11)$$

where the A_j are the Langrange multipliers that correspond to (9.8).

As noted in the introduction, there are two difficulties with this argument. First, equations (9.11) have no solution: taking derivatives one sees that the ϵ_j should be decreasing functions, so the functions $\log(1 + e^{-\epsilon_j/T})$ are increasing and bounded above, but they are taken by K to decreasing functions and thus one needs $\epsilon_1 \leq -c_1\eta + O(1)$ at $+\infty$. Therefore $\log(1 + e^{-\epsilon_1/T})$ is nonnegative and grows like η at $+\infty$, so $K \log(1 + e^{-\epsilon_1/T})$ cannot be defined. Second, it is not clear that the free energy is bounded below.

The first difficulty is easily dealt with. We may assume that $\dot{r}$ and $\dot{s}$ are test functions, and (9.9) simply means that they are derivatives of test functions. Therefore the condition $\dot{F} = 0$ simply means that the derivatives of the two bracketed terms vanish. Now K anti-commutes with derivation, so the correct form of (9.11) is

$$\frac{d\epsilon_1}{d\eta} = -c_1 + K\left(\Psi \frac{d\epsilon_2}{d\zeta}\right); \quad \frac{d\epsilon_2}{d\zeta} = c_2 + K\left(\Theta \frac{d\epsilon_1}{d\eta}\right).$$

Combining this with

$$\Theta = \frac{e^{-\epsilon_1/T}}{1 + e^{-\epsilon_1/T}}, \quad \Psi = \frac{e^{-\epsilon_2/T}}{1 + e^{-\epsilon_2/T}} \quad (9.13)$$

yields a well-behaved system of equations for the thermal equilibrium particle distribution $(\rho, \sigma) = (\rho^{(T)}, \sigma^{(T)})$.

To deal with the second difficulty we prove the following.

Theorem 9.1. *The free energy functional F_T is convex and bounded below by $-CT^2$, where C is a constant that depends only on the velocities c_j .*

Proof. From (9.9), the second derivative of the free energy for the variation $(\dot{\rho}, \dot{\sigma})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\rho} \left[\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{\dot{\rho}_h}{\rho_h} + K \left(\frac{\dot{\sigma}_t}{\sigma_t} - \frac{\dot{\sigma}_h}{\sigma_h} \right) \right] d\eta \\ & + T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\sigma} \left[\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma} - \frac{\dot{\sigma}_h}{\sigma_h} + K \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}_t}{\rho_t} - \frac{\dot{\rho}_h}{\rho_h} \right) \right] d\zeta \\ & = T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\dot{\rho} \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{\dot{\rho}_h}{\rho_h} \right) + (K\dot{\sigma}) \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}_t}{\rho_t} - \frac{\dot{\rho}_h}{\rho_h} \right) \right] d\eta \\ & + T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\dot{\sigma} \left(\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma} - \frac{\dot{\sigma}_h}{\sigma_h} \right) + (K\dot{\rho}) \left(\frac{\dot{\sigma}_t}{\sigma_t} - \frac{\dot{\sigma}_h}{\sigma_h} \right) \right] dz. \end{aligned} \quad (9.14)$$

We use (9.7) and reorganize to find that the second derivative is

$$T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\rho_t}}{\sqrt{\rho_t \rho_h}} \dot{\rho} + \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho_t \rho_h}} K \dot{\sigma} \right)^2 d\eta + T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\sigma_t}}{\sqrt{\sigma_t \sigma_h}} \dot{\sigma} + \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sigma_t \sigma_h}} K \dot{\rho} \right)^2 d\zeta. \quad (9.15)$$

To prove boundedness we introduce the function

$$G(c) = G(1-c) = -c \log c - (1-c) \log(1-c) = 0 \leq c \leq 1$$

Then $G(\Theta) = G(|\Theta_0 - \Theta|)$ and the entropy density can be written

$$2\pi S(\rho, \sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_t G(\Theta) d\eta + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_t G(\Psi) d\zeta. \quad (9.16)$$

For the first integral we partition the line into three sets

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \{\eta : G(\Theta) \leq |\eta(\Theta_0 - \Theta)|/\delta\}, \\ I_2 &= \{\eta : |\Theta_0 - \Theta| \geq 1/2, G(\Theta) > |\eta(\Theta_0 - \Theta)|/\delta\}, \\ I_3 &= \{\eta : |\Theta_0 - \Theta| < 1/2, G(\Theta) > |\eta(\Theta_0 - \Theta)|/\delta\}, \end{aligned} \quad (9.17)$$

where the constant δ is to be chosen. Note that $0 \leq G(t) \leq \log 2$ so $\eta \in I_2$ implies $|\eta| < 2\delta \log 2$. Also

$$-\log t \geq -2t \log t \geq G(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1/2$$

so $\eta \in I_3$ implies $|\Theta_0 - \Theta| < \exp(-|\eta|/\delta)$. Now $-t \log t$ is increasing on the interval $[0, 1/e]$, so we conclude that $\eta \in I_3$ implies that either $|\eta|/\delta < 1$ or

$$G(\Theta) = G(|\Theta_0 - \Theta|) \leq -2|\Theta_0 - \Theta| \log |\Theta_0 - \Theta| \leq 2 \frac{|\eta|}{\delta} e^{-|\eta|/\delta}.$$

Since $1 < 2 \log 2$ we can combine these estimates and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_t G(\Theta) d\eta &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_t (\Theta_0 - \Theta) \eta d\eta + 4\delta \log 2 + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\eta|}{\delta} e^{-|\eta|/\delta} d\eta \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_t (\Theta_0 - \Theta) \eta d\eta + 4\delta \log 2 + 4\delta. \end{aligned} \quad (9.18)$$

We claim that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_t (\Theta_0 - \Theta) \eta d\eta \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\rho_0 - \rho) \eta d\eta + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma_0 - \sigma) \zeta d\zeta. \quad (9.19)$$

In fact (8.11) can be rewritten

$$\rho_t (\Theta_0 - \Theta) = \rho - \rho_0 + \Theta_0 K(\rho - \rho_0). \quad (9.20)$$

Using $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the standard inner product, we want to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta_0 K(\sigma - \sigma_0) \eta d\eta &= \langle K(\sigma - \sigma_0), \Theta_0 \eta \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \sigma_0 - \sigma, \zeta \rangle = -\langle Dg_2, z \rangle = \langle g_2, 1 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (9.21)$$

where D denotes differentiation and g_2 is the function in (8.15). We use the fact that derivation anti-commutes with K and integrate by parts to obtain

$$\langle K(\sigma - \sigma_0), \Theta_0 \eta \rangle = \langle KDg_2, \Theta_0 \eta \rangle = -\langle DKg_2, \Theta_0 \eta \rangle$$

Now $0 \leq K\theta_0 \leq 1$ and $g_2 \geq 0$, so this proves (9.19). Using (9.18), (9.19), and the analogous results for σ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_T(\rho, \sigma) &\leq \left(c_1 - \frac{2T}{\delta}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\rho_0 - \rho)\eta \, d\eta + 4\delta(1 + \log 2) \\ &\quad + \left(-c_2 - \frac{2T}{\delta}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma_0 - \sigma)\zeta \, d\zeta + 4\delta(1 + \log 2). \end{aligned} \quad (9.22)$$

We take $\delta = 2T/(c_1 - c_2)$ and obtain $C = 8(1 + \log 2)/(c_1 - c_2)$. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by National Science Foundation grants DMS-9213595 and DMS-9501233.

¹ M. Wadati, and K. Okhuma, “Bethe States for the Quantum Three Wave Interaction Equation,” J. Phys. Soc. Japan **53**, 1229-1237 (1984).

² P. P. Kulish and N. Reshetikhin, “Diagonalization of GL(N) invariant transfer matrices and quantum N-wave system (Lee Model),” J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **16**, L591-L596 (1983).

³ V. E. Korepin, “Direct calculation of the S Matrix in the massive Thirring Model,” Theor. and Math. Phys. **41**, 168-189 (1979).

⁴ H. Bergknoff and H. B. Thacker, “Structure and solution of the massive Thirring model,” Phys. Rev. D **19**, 3666-3681 (1979).

⁵ C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, “Thermodynamics of a one-dimensional system of bosons with repulsive delta-function interaction,” J. Math. Phys. **10** (1966), 1115-1122.

⁶ K. Ohkuma and M. Wadati, “Quantum Three Wave Interaction Models,” J. Phys. Soc. Japan **53**, 2899-2907 (1984).

⁷ K. Ohkuma, “Thermodynamics of the Quantum Three Wave Interaction Model,” J. Phys. Soc. Japan **54**, 2817-2828 (1985).

⁸ E. H. Lieb, and W. Liniger, “Exact analysis of an interacting Bose gas, I. The general solution and the ground state,” Phys. Rev. **130**, 1605-1615 (1963).

⁹ V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, and V. E. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).

¹⁰ H. Bethe, “Zur Theorie der Metalle. I. Eigenwerte und Eigenfunktionen der linearen Atomkette,” Z. Phys. **71**, 205-226 (1931).

¹¹ E. H. Lieb, “Exact analysis of an interacting Bose gas, II. The excitation spectrum,” Phys. Rev. **130**, 1616-1624 (1963).

¹² C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, “One-dimensional chain of anisotropic spin-spin interactions I. Proof of Bethe’s hypothesis for ground state in finite system,” *Phys. Rev.* **150**, 321-327 (1966).

¹³ V. E. Zakharov and S. V. Manakov, “Resonant interactions of wave packets in nonlinear media,” *JETP* **18**, 243-245 (1973).

¹⁴ R. Beals, and D. H. Sattinger, “On the Complete Integrability of Completely Integrable Systems,” *Comm. Math. Phys.* **138**, 409-436 (1991).

¹⁵ R. Beals, D. H. Sattinger, and E. Williams, “Quantization of the three wave interaction,” Technical Report, www.math.umn.edu/~dhs.